

Study 5
Updated Water Management Strategies
for Water User Groups
in McLennan County
(Draft)

Prepared for:



Prepared by:



November 2008

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Water Demands	1
3.0 Water Supplies	2
4.0 Water Supply Plans of Water User Groups.....	6
5.0 Potential Strategies for Additional Water Supplies.....	6
5.1 Trinity Aquifer	6
5.2 City of Waco	11
5.3 Options other than Waco and the Trinity Aquifer	11
6.0 Summary	13

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Water Use and Projected Demands for Brazos G Water User Groups in McLennan County	2
2	Water Supplies for Water User Groups.....	3
3	Trinity Aquifer Wells Operated by Water User Groups in McLennan County	4
4	Estimates of Pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County	5
5	Summary of Interviews with Water User Group Representatives	7

Executive Summary

A study has been conducted to update the potential water management strategies for Water User Groups (WUGs) in McLennan County. The primary purpose of the study was to identify potential water management strategies for these WUGs other than the City of Waco (Waco) and the Trinity Aquifer. The study included compiling information including: water demands, primary and secondary water supplies, Trinity Aquifer wells, and pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer, and contacting representatives of each WUG regarding their plans for future water supplies, and updates on groundwater availability from the Trinity Aquifer.

The estimated annual water demands of all the WUGs from the 2006 Brazos G Regional Water Plan range from approximately 16,700 acre-feet (acft) in 2010 to approximately 24,200 acft in 2060. Adding in the County-Other demands, the range is from approximately 23,300 acft in 2010 to approximately 32,100 acft in 2060. The total pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer is estimated to range from approximately 17,642 acft/yr in the early 2000s to approximately 25,820 acft/yr in year 2060.

Of the 20 WUGs, 18 have all or part of their primary water supply coming from the Trinity Aquifer and 2 have all their supply coming from Waco. Five of the WUGs have a supplemental supply from Waco; and, seven have a supplemental supply from a surface water source other than Waco. Other water supplies being used by one or more utilities include: the Brazos River, Bluebonnet Water Supply Corporation (WSC) which gets its water from Lake Belton, and Tri-County Special Utility District (SUD).

TCEQ data show that there are about 55 Trinity Aquifer wells owned and operated by municipal WUGs in McLennan County. A typical well is about 1,750 feet deep and yields 250 gallons per minute (gpm). A very good well would yield over 400 gpm.

Based on interviews with representatives of WUGs, most have relatively short-term plans to continue with their past practices. In general, these practices are to install new Trinity Aquifer wells as needed, of which several have immediate plans to construct new wells, and to rely or expand interconnects with other neighboring water utilities for emergencies. Three of the 17 WUGs who rely on Trinity Aquifer wells expressed an opinion that they may need to connect to Waco or rely more and more on Waco for their water supply. Several expressed an interest in either remaining independent of Waco or becoming independent of Waco.

The availability of water from the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County is in the process of undergoing a major revision. Previously, Brazos G in their 2001 and 2006 Plans adopted a groundwater availability estimate of 1,718 acft/yr, which was originally estimated by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). Now, representatives of groundwater districts within Groundwater Management Area 8 have formulated Future Desired Conditions, which will be processed by the TWDB to provide a revised groundwater availability estimate (called “Managed Available Groundwater”). The preliminary estimate of the revised groundwater availability for McLennan County is expected to be 20,700 acft/yr.

Potential new water supply strategies for McLennan County that do not include the Trinity Aquifer or Waco include: Lake Belton via Bluebonnet WSC, the Brazos River, the Brazos River Alluvium, and reuse of wastewater. The FHLM WSC and Tri-County SUD may also be able to meet some of the future demands for utilities that are located near their distribution systems.

1.0 Introduction

The Brazos G Regional Water Plan (2006 Plan) identified the City of Waco (Waco) as the primary regional water provider in McLennan County. However, Waco's supplies are limited and would be nearly fully utilized by Year 2060. This report documents a study to identify additional water management strategies for McLennan County Water User Groups (WUGs) that may be feasible alternatives to Waco. Tasks completed including the following:

- Compile estimated and projected water demands for each WUG,
- Compile primary and secondary water supplies for each WUG,
- Compile Trinity Aquifer well information,
- Estimate pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer,
- Summarize results of interviews with representatives of each WUG,
- Review updates to groundwater availability from the Trinity Aquifer, and
- Identify and discuss potential water management strategies.

2.0 Water Demands

According to the 2006 Plan, there are about 20 municipal WUGs in McLennan County. The list of WUGs, the past water demands from Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) water use surveys, and projected demands from the 2006 Plan are presented in Table 1. As shown in the table, there are several notable inconsistencies in the estimates of recent water use and projected demands. Cases where the past use estimates are noticeably greater than the projected demands include: Crawford, Lorena and Robinson. Cases where the past use is noticeably less than the projected demands include: Bellmead, Chalk Bluff Water Supply Corporation (WSC), Gholson WSC and Western Hills Water System (WS). Accounting procedures, such as purchasing or selling water to other utilities, may explain some or all of the inconsistencies.

Based on the TWDB water use surveys of the water utilities, the maximum annual water demand from 2001-2005 for the WUGs and other public water supplies was 14,642 and 3,510 acre-feet (acft), respectively, for a total of 18,152 acft. In comparison, the Brazos G 2010 estimates of demand for the WUGs and County-Other are 16,702 and 6,635 acft, respectively, for a total of 23,337 acft. In summary, the 2010 Brazos G demand estimates were about 14 percent higher than the maximum annual use between 2001 and 2005. Long-term estimates in demand suggest a growth of about 37 percent by 2060.

Table 1.
Water Use and Projected Demands for Brazos G Water User Groups
in McLennan County

Brazos G Water User Group	Maximum Annual Water Use (acft/yr) (TWDB)	Annual Demand (acft/yr) (Brazos G Table 4A-20)			
	2001-2005	2010	2020	2040	2060
Bellmead	1,341	2,622	2,751	2,984	3,202
Beverly Hills	567	414	416	414	424
Bruceville-Eddy	766	825	961	1,195	1,383
Chalk Bluff WSC	355	1,160	1,766	2,881	2,955
Crawford	195	65	67	69	73
Cross County WSC	425	445	497	585	661
Gholson WSC	129	956	1,462	2,574	2,647
Hewitt	1,930	2,029	2,237	2,571	2,877
Lacy-Lakeview	629	835	989	1,256	1,477
Lorena	660	369	408	475	533
Mart	360	335	354	383	415
McGregor	966	933	923	902	899
Moody	347	202	203	204	212
North Bosque WSC	395	367	454	608	730
Riesel	178	109	116	126	137
Robinson	1,743	1,110	1,153	1,210	1,291
West	469	459	467	482	506
Western Hills WS	258	384	458	588	694
Woodway	2,861	2,944	2,925	2,882	2,874
TOTAL	14,642	16,702	18,757	22,555	24,172
County-Other Public Water Suppliers (TWDB)	3,510				
County-Other (Brazos G)		6,635	6,904	7,399	7,881
TOTAL	18,152	23,337	25,661	29,954	32,053

3.0 Water Supplies

Water supply information from the WUGs is available from Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) water utility reports, the 2006 Plan, and interviews with water utility managers. A summary of the supplies is presented in Table 2.

Table 2.
Water Supplies for Water User Groups

WUG	Primary Water Supply	Supplemental/ Backup Water Supply (Brazos G)	Supplemental/ Backup Water Supply (TCEQ)	Water Supply (Interview with Utility)
Bellmead	Trinity	Possibly from Waco	Waco	Trinity, with emergency from Waco
Beverly Hills	Waco			
Bruceville-Eddy	Trinity	Lake Belton, via Bluebonnet WSC	Lake Cypress Springs	Trinity Plan to stay independent of Waco
Chalk Bluff WSC	Trinity			Trinity
Crawford	Trinity			Trinity, with local surface water when available
Cross Country WSC	Trinity			Trinity
Gholson WSC	Trinity			Trinity, with emergency interconnect to other, local utilities
Hewitt	Trinity	Waco	Waco	Trinity, with supplement from Waco
Lacy-Lakeview	Waco			Waco
Lorena	Trinity	Brazos River	City of Robinson and Levi WSC	Trinity, City of Robinson, and Levi WSC.
Mart	Trinity and Lake Mart			Trinity and Lake Mart
McGregor	Trinity, Lake Belton and Run of River		Bluebonnet WSC (Lake Cypress Springs), Waco	Trinity, with supplies originating from Lake Belton via Bluebonnet WSC and Woodway distribution system
Moody	Trinity	Lake Belton, via Bluebonnet WSC	Bluebonnet WSC	Trinity and Bluebonnet WSC
North Bosque WSC	Trinity			Trinity
Riesel	Trinity		RMS WSC (Trinity) and SW from Tri-County SUD	RMS WSC (Trinity) and emergency from Tri-County SUD (surface water)
Robinson	Trinity and Brazos River		Brazos River (off-channel reservoir)	Trinity and Brazos River via off-channel reservoir
West	Trinity		Waco, Cottonwood WSC, Hill Top WSC, Bold Springs WSC	Trinity and Waco
Western Hills WS	Trinity			Trinity
Woodway	Trinity		Waco and Bluebonnet WSC	Trinity, with supplemental from Waco and Bluebonnet WSC

As shown in Table 2, the Trinity Aquifer is the primary supply for about 75 percent of the WUGs. In several cases, the primary supply is considered to be a combination of Trinity Aquifer wells and surface water from Lake Belton, the Brazos River or nearby streams and lakes. Two of the Trinity Aquifer users have supplemental supplies from Waco. Several of the utilities have interconnects that can either provide a substantial amount of their water or emergency supplies. Two of the utilities rely completely on Waco for water.

A summary description of the water supplies provided by Trinity Aquifer wells is presented in Table 3. A typical well is about 1,750 feet deep and yields 250 gallons per minute (gpm). A very good well would yield over 400 gpm. Large wells will commonly have 11 to 16 inch diameter screens. A common screen diameter for medium size wells is 9 inches. A few small municipal wells have screens as small as 5 inches in diameter. The deepest well is nearly 3,200 feet deep, yields about 270 gallons per minute and is located on the east side of the county. The shallow wells are about 1,100 feet deep and on the west side of the county.

Table 3.
Trinity Aquifer Wells Operated by Water User Groups in McLennan County

Water User Group	Number of Wells	Range in Well Depths (feet)		Range in Well Yields (gpm)		Cumulative Rated Well Capacity		Annual Supply* acft/yr
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	gpm	MGD	
Bellmead	4	2,310	2,464	470	600	2,040	2.94	1,645
Bruceville-Eddy	4	1,550	1,810	50	402	660	0.95	533
Chalk Bluff WSC	3	2,120	2,130	250	450	960	1.38	774
Crawford	2	965	1,005	61	105	165	0.24	133
Cross County WSC	6	1,234	1,322	110	205	925	1.33	746
Gholson WSC	5	1,180	1,515	200	325	1,215	1.75	980
Hewitt	6	1,768	2,007	110	550	2,390	3.44	1,928
Lorena	2	2,000	2,028	250	350	600	0.86	484
Mart	1		3,181		270	270	0.39	218
McGregor	1		1,050		325	325	0.47	262
Moody	2	1,494	1,561	135	140	275	0.40	222
North Bosque WSC	3	1,179	1,320	175	440	815	1.17	658
Robinson	5	2,184	2,550	200	400	1,460	2.10	1,178
West	2	1,940	2,008	120	350	470	0.68	379
Western Hills WS	4	1,135	1,360	60	400	945	1.36	762
Woodway	5	1,790	1,934	130	1,120	3,400	4.90	2,742
Total	55					16,915	24.36	13,642

* Note: Annual supply estimated by dividing cumulative rated well capacity in half to account for reserve capacity required to meet peak day demands, which are typically twice average day demands.

Estimates of groundwater supplies that were derived from the Trinity Aquifer were made for each of the WUGs and for other groundwater uses in McLennan County (Table 4). The estimates for the WUGs are based on the maximum water demand for 2001-2005 and the percent of the demand that was derived from groundwater in year 2000, which is the only year for which such data are available. Groundwater use for categories other than public supply is based on Brazos G and TWDB data. This compilation shows about 10,100 acft/yr was being pumped from the Trinity Aquifer by the WUGs in the early 2000s. Pumpage for other uses from the Trinity Aquifer totals about 7,500 acft/yr. These data and analyses suggest about 17,600 acft/yr of pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer during the early 2000s.

Table 4.
Estimates of Pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County

Water User Group	Max Year (2001-2005) Demands (acft)	2000 Supply from Groundwater (percent)	Max Year (2001-2005) Demands from Groundwater (acft)
Bellmead	1,341	100	1,341
Beverly Hills	567	0	0
Bruceville-Eddy	766	34	259
Chalk Bluff WSC	355	100	355
Crawford	195	100	195
Cross County WSC	425	100	425
Gholson WSC	129	100	129
Hewitt	1,930	68	1,320
Lacy-Lakeview	629	0	0
Lorena	660	100	660
Mart	360	36	131
McGregor	966	17	168
Moody	347	13	47
North Bosque WSC	395	100	395
Riesel	178		0
Robinson	1,743	100	1,743
West	469	100	469
Western Hills WS	258	100	258
Woodway	2,861	77	2,207
TOTAL	14,642	69	10,101
Other Groundwater Uses			
Small Public Water Suppliers ¹			2,400
Rural Domestic ²			2,000
Manufacturing ³			938
Steam Electric ³			1,708
Irrigation ³			0
Mining ³			0
Livestock ³			495
TOTAL			7,541
GRAND TOTAL			17,642
¹ Uses TWDB water demands with an average SW-GW split for WUGs			
² Brazos G County-Other estimates, less the Small Public Water Suppliers			
³ TWDB data base, average of 2000-2003 values			

4.0 Water Supply Plans of Water User Groups

With the goal of integrating the actual plans of water utilities into the water management strategies as much as possible, interviews were conducted with an official from each WUG to get first hand information on their plans. Responses in the interview are presented in Table 5.

With a wide variety of water utilities, most of the representatives have relatively short-term plans to continue with their past practices. In general, these practices are to: (1) install new Trinity Aquifer wells as needed, of which several have immediate plans to construct new wells, and (2) rely or expand interconnects with other neighboring water utilities for emergencies. Notably, only 3 of the 17 WUGs who rely on Trinity Aquifer wells to some degree expressed an opinion that they may need to connect to Waco or rely more and more on Waco for their water supply. Several expressed an interest in either remaining or becoming independent of Waco.

Several of the utilities have successfully diversified their water supplies. Some examples include:

- Bruceville-Eddy, McGregor, Moody and Woodway are interconnected to Bluebonnet WSC, which provides wholesale surface water from Lake Belton. McGregor and Woodway also have an interconnect to Waco.
- Gholson WSC, Mart, and Riesel were identified as members of the FHLM WSC for interconnections and future supplies.
- Crawford, Mart and Robinson have independent surface water supplies.

5.0 Potential Strategies for Additional Water Supplies

5.1 Trinity Aquifer

The availability of water from the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County is in the process of undergoing a major revision. Previously, Brazos G in their 2001 and 2006 Plans, adopted a TWDB groundwater availability estimate of 1,718 acft/yr. The current procedure for estimating groundwater availability has been formalized with HB 1763 that was passed by the 79th Texas Legislature. This bill requires several major actions, including:

- Groundwater Management Areas (GMA) are to be delineated by the TWDB.
- Using a required process for each GMA, groundwater districts are to establish Future Desired Conditions (DFC) for each of the aquifers in the GMA.

Table 5.
Summary of Interviews with Water User Group Representatives

WUG	Name of Contact	Present Water Supply	Planned Future Supply	Connection to Waco, Present and Future	Comments
Bellmead	Scooter Radcliffe, City Manager	Trinity wells, with emergency contract with Waco	Trinity. Install two new Trinity wells in near future. Rely totally on Trinity for future supplies.	Currently has a Waco emergency contract, but plans to not renew it.	They are not using Waco water now, and have no plans to do so in the future.
Beverly Hills	N/A	Waco	Waco	Yes	Did not contact
Bruceville-Eddy	Monte Harris, Mayor Pro Tem	Trinity	Trinity. Has approval for a new Trinity well.	No	Plan to stay independent of Waco
Chalk Bluff WSC	Barry Holle, General Manager	Trinity	Trinity. Considering installing another well. Member of FHLM WSC for future supplies.	No	May be tying into Tri-County SUD in near future
Crawford	David Posten, Mayor/General Manager	Trinity, Surface Water supply, which is Run of River diversion from Tonk Creek to local Quarry for off-channel storage.	Trinity. The supply from the creek and off-channel reservoir is unreliable.	No. Waco requires a very high reservation fee and high charges for water delivered. Also, Waco is too far away.	Near capacity now. Plans to install new Trinity well. They have a few very high water users that complicate the system operation.
Cross Country WSC	Brad Berry, General Manager	Trinity	Trinity. May have to connect to Waco.	Maybe	One of several utilities operated by the same general manager and staff.
Gholson WSC	Brandy Dyer, General Manager	Trinity. Interconnect with other water utilities for emergency supplies.	Stay on Trinity as long as they can. Member of FHLM WSC for future supplies.	No	Continue with current arrangement.
Hewitt	Paul Hollyb, Manager	Trinity, with supplemental supplies from Waco during summer.	Trinity, with supplemental supplies from Waco during summer.	Yes	Considering a new Trinity well.
Lacy-Lakeview		Waco	Waco	Yes	Conveyed wells, easements, etc. to Waco.

Table 5.
Summary of Interviews with Water User Group Representatives (Continued)

WUG	Name of Contact	Present Water Supply	Planned Future Supply	Connection to Waco, Present and Future	Comments
Lorena	John Moran	Trinity, City of Robinson, and Levi WSC	Trinity, City of Robinson and Levi WSC. Planning for Wastewater Reuse.	No	
Mart	Jerald Flippin, Dir Public Works	Trinity and Lake Mart	Trinity, Lake Mart, and restore surface water right to Tradinghouse Creek Reservoir. Member of FHLM WSC for future supplies.	Unknown	Concerned about Lake Mart being inadequate. Water right was not renewed, so it was lost.
McGregor	Don Carnes, Dir Public Works	Trinity, Interconnect to Woodway, which gets water from Bluebonnet WSC	Trinity, Interconnect to Woodway, which gets water from Bluebonnet WSC. Improve the system operation with new pipeline.	No	Complicated. Other than Trinity, water source is Lake Belton via Bluebonnet, which also provides treatment. Pipelines are being upgraded for direct connection to Bluebonnet.
Moody	David Culpepper, Water Supt.	Bluebonnet WSC (primary supply) and Trinity	Bluebonnet WSC and Trinity. Rehab old Trinity wells and/or increase take from Bluebonnet WSC.	No	
North Bosque WSC	Brad Berry, General Manager	Trinity	Trinity. May have to connect to Waco	Maybe	One of several utilities operated by the same general manager and staff.
Riesel	Barry Holle, General Manager	Groundwater from RMS WSC, emergency from Tri-County SUD	Groundwater from RMS WSC, emergency from Tri-County SUD, regional interconnections. Member of FHLM WSC for future supplies.	No	Co-managed with Chalk Bluff WSC.
Robinson	Greg Hobbs, General Manager	Trinity and Brazos River (about equal use)	Trinity and Brazos River. Expand water rights from Brazos River.	No	Brazos water is diverted to an off-channel reservoir and treated by RO.

Table 5.
Summary of Interviews with Water User Group Representatives (Concluded)

WUG	Name of Contact	Present Water Supply	Planned Future Supply	Connection to Waco, Present and Future	Comments
West	Kenneth Kubala, City Secretary	Trinity and Waco	Trinity and Waco. Probably will rely more and more on Waco.	Yes	Has one relatively new Trinity well.
Western Hills WS	Mark Kosian, Field Supervisor for Aqua Texas	Trinity	Trinity	No	Concerned about restrictions from groundwater district. Experiencing slow growth.
Woodway	Randall Riggs	Trinity (primary), Waco and Bluebonnet (supplemental)	Trinity and current interconnection	Yes	In process of installing two new wells.

- The TWDB is to make iterative runs with the Groundwater Availability Model (GAM) by adjusting pumping until the DFC is met. The resulting pumpage from the groundwater model within a groundwater district would be known as Managed Available Groundwater (MAG).
- Groundwater Districts are to issue permits up to the MAG.
- Regional water planning groups are to use the MAG in the development their plans.

Officials of GMA 8, which includes McLennan County, are considering adopting DFCs for the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County that, in all likelihood, will produce a MAG of about 20,700 acft/yr. Thus, in the Brazos G regional planning process, the change in groundwater availability (an increase of about 19,000 acft/yr) substantially alters previously estimated shortages for those WUGs relying on the Trinity Aquifer.

A comparison of the MAG with the total pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer in the early 2000s (17,642 acft/yr in the early 2000s, as shown in Table 4) suggests that there is sufficient groundwater available from the Trinity Aquifer to continue with the current water management practices of the WUGs in the short-term. A projection of future demands with current management practices shows the demands to be 19,730; 21,450; 24,710; and 25,820 acft/yr in years 2010, 2020, 2040 and 2060, respectively. This projection is based on: (1) Brazos G demand estimates in Table 1, (2) percentage of the total demand in year 2000 coming from groundwater, and (3) groundwater demands by users in other categories remaining constant. This

analysis shows that the groundwater demand from the Trinity Aquifer will exceed the MAG between years 2010 and 2020. Continuing with the management practices in the early part of this decade, the year 2060 demands on the Trinity Aquifer will be about 25,800 acft/yr, which would exceed the MAG by about 5,100 acft/yr.

One of the consequences of pumping the Trinity Aquifer at the full MAG is a very significant drawdown in groundwater levels, as shown by the TWDB. The result of the simulations for this and other specified pumpage across the model shows water levels in the lower Trinity Aquifer (Hosston Formation) to be at an elevation of about 600 feet below mean sea level (msl) after 50 years. Prior to groundwater development, the estimated groundwater levels in the lower Trinity Aquifer in the vicinity of Waco were about 650 feet above msl. Thus, the cumulative drawdown would be over 1,200 feet. The average drawdown for McLennan County for the 50-year period in the GAM simulation was calculated to be 527 feet. In the central and eastern part of the county, the drawdown was shown to be about 650 feet. Assuming a typical land surface elevation of 500 feet-msl and additional drawdown within a pumping well to be about 100 feet, the pumping lifts will be about 1,200 feet. To allow for pump submergence, the pump's intake would have to be set at about 1,250 feet below land surface. These drawdown values were computed assuming full pumpage of the MAG for the entire 50-year test period. While McLennan County pumping is approaching the MAG level, in perspective, it probably will take several years before the projected pumpage would reach the MAG level throughout the entire GMA 8 area. With this in mind, the actual drawdown could be slightly less than the values calculated by the GAM for this scenario.

Another consequence of the very significant drawdown is cost to the well users. Additional cost would be incurred for: (1) increasing power to lift the water higher, (2) lowering and/or replacing pumps, and (3) possibly replacing small wells with large wells to accommodate bigger pumps that would have to be set deeper in the well. Well replacement will be required if a pump needs to be lowered inside a small diameter section of the well and the required pump's diameter is too large to fit inside this section. If a well has a casing or screen diameter of less than 9 inches in diameter and is shallower than 600 feet deep, well replacement is very likely necessary. High capacity 9-inch wells probably will be marginal because of pump size constraints and may also have to be replaced. With a relatively high capacity Trinity Aquifer

well costing \$800,000 to \$1,200,000, many of the small water utilities may have difficulty affording a new well.

5.2 City of Waco

According to Waco officials, the city has water supply contracts with the following utilities:

- Cities of Lacy Lakeview, McGregor, Hewitt, and Woodway
- Bold Springs WSC.

An expression of interest in a contract has been received from the following small water utilities:

- Central Bosque WSC
- Hilltop WSC
- North Bosque Estate
- South Bosque Estates
- China Springs Water Company.

These utilities are not classified as WUGs for regional planning purposes.

Due to remoteness from outside water supplies, additional candidates for connecting to Waco's water system are North Bosque WSC and West.

5.3 Options other than Waco and the Trinity Aquifer

In the compilation and study of future water supplies for WUGs other than Waco and the Trinity Aquifer, four water supplies were identified. They include: Bluebonnet WSC, the Brazos River, the Brazos River Alluvium, and reuse. In support of regionalization, the FFLM WSC is a consortium of water utilities. Two of their objectives are to support water system interconnects and to secure, treat and deliver water to member utilities. Tri-County SUD is located to the east of McLennan County and may be able to meet some of the future demands for utilities that are located near their distribution system.

Bluebonnet WSC is located in Bell County and currently provides treated wholesale water to water utilities in the southwest part of McLennan County. The source of Bluebonnet's water is Lake Belton. TCEQ's water system report states that Bluebonnet has a production capacity of 8.64 MGD and an average daily demand of 2.738 MGD. Wholesale customers in

McLennan County include the cities of Bruceville-Eddy, McGregor, Moody and Woodway. Other potential major water utilities in this part of the county include Crawford, Hewitt, Lorena, and Western Hills WS.

The availability of water from the Brazos River (BRA System Operation water) is limited. However, there are potential options to develop water management strategies with this supply. One is a conjunctive use approach where most of the treated water would come from the Brazos River during normal and high flow conditions and either off-channel storage or Trinity Aquifer wells during low flow conditions. The relatively high capital cost of the project, which probably will require advanced water treatment, suggests a regional water approach instead of small utilities working independently. Water utilities in the vicinity of the Brazos River include: Bellmead, Chalk Bluff WSC, Cross Country WSC, Gholson WSC, Lacy-Lakeview, Riesel, and Robinson.

The Brazos River Alluvium is in the vicinity of some reaches of the Brazos River. In McLennan County, the alluvium is most extensive in a reach north of Lake Waco and in the reach downstream of downtown Waco. The water-bearing zone is typically gravels and rather shallow, less than 75 feet. The alluvium is recharged from local precipitation and possibly indirect leakage from the Brazos River in areas of high pumpage or during drought conditions. Well yields are highly variable, but may reach several hundred gallons per minute. Typically, the quality of the water in the alluvium is slightly saline, which would require desalination to be used for public supplies. The alluvium offers several benefits, including: (1) not requiring Brazos River diversion facilities and, possibly, off-channel storage, (2) providing an opportunity for a new water supply for relatively small utilities located in the vicinity of the Brazos River, and (3) providing opportunities for a regional system for several small utilities in the vicinity of the Brazos River. Potential water utilities include: Bellmead, Chalk Bluff WSC, Cross Country WSC, Gholson WSC, Lacy-Lakeview, Riesel, and Robinson.

Reuse is a potential supply for a utility or a group of utilities who own and operate a wastewater treatment plant. Use of the reuse water would most likely be for nonpotable purposes, such as irrigation and cooling. The 2006 Plan includes direct reuse of wastewater from the Waco Metropolitan Area Sewage System (WMARSS) as a water management strategy to meet some future water demands. This strategy could be expanded to the 2011 Plan.

FHLM WSC is an organization comprised of about 15 water supply corporations and cities in Falls, Hill, Limestone, and McLennan Counties. The members of FHLM are to the east of Waco. They have hired a consultant and are working toward acquiring additional water supplies for its members and facilitating interconnection of member utilities. Water sources under consideration are the Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer and additional Trinity Aquifer wells. Based on the discussion above, water from the Brazos River and the Brazos River Alluvium would also be potential water supplies. Gholson WSC, Riesel, and Mart are members and potential recipients of water from FHLM WSC.

Tri-County SUD is located to the east of McLennan County and may have the potential to provide supplemental water to customers near their distributions system, including Mart and Riesel.

6.0 Summary

A study has been conducted to identify potential water management strategies for WUGs in McLennan County. Elements of the study included:

- Compilation of estimated and projected water demands for each WUG
- Compilation of primary and secondary water supplies for each WUG
- Compilation of the Trinity Aquifer well information
- Estimates of pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer
- Summary if interview with representatives of each WUG
- Review of the update to groundwater availability from the Trinity Aquifer
- Identification and discussion potential water management strategies

The estimated annual water demands of all the WUGs from the 2006 Brazos G Regional Water Plan range from about 16,700 acft in 2010 to about 24,200 acft in 2060. Adding in the County-Other demands, the range is from about 23,300 acft in 2010 to about 32,100 acft in 2060. The total pumpage from the Trinity Aquifer is estimated to range from about 17,642 acft/yr in the early 2000s to about 25,820 acft/yr in year 2060.

Of the 20 WUGs, 18 have all or part of their primary water supply coming from the Trinity Aquifer and 2 have all their supply coming from Waco. Five of the WUGs have a supplemental supply from Waco; and, seven have a supplemental supply from a surface water source other than Waco. One utility gets some water from the Brazos River. Three utilities have

a supplemental supply from Bluebonnet WSC, which gets its water from Lake Belton. One utility gets some surface water from Tri-County SUD, which is east of McLennan County.

TCEQ data show that there are about 55 Trinity Aquifer wells owned and operated by the municipal WUGs in McLennan County. A typical well is about 1,750 feet deep and yields 250 gallons per minute (gpm). A very good well yields over 400 gpm.

Based on interviews with representatives of WUGs, most have relatively short-term plans to continue with their past practices. In general, these practices are to install new Trinity Aquifer wells as needed, of which several have immediate plans to construct new wells, and to rely or expand interconnects with other neighboring water utilities for emergencies. Three of the 17 WUGs who rely on Trinity Aquifer wells expressed an opinion that they may need to connect to Waco or rely more and more on Waco for their water supply. Several expressed an interest in either remaining independent of Waco or becoming independent of Waco.

The availability of water from the Trinity Aquifer in McLennan County is in the process of undergoing a major revision. Previously, Brazos G in their 2001 and 2006 Plans, adopted a TWDB groundwater availability estimate of 1,718 acft/yr. Now, representatives of groundwater districts within Groundwater Management Area 8 have formulated Desired Future Conditions, which will be processed by the TWDB to provide revised groundwater availability estimates, called Managed Available Groundwater (MAG). The preliminary estimate of the MAG for McLennan County is about 20,700 acft/yr.

Potential new water supply strategies for McLennan County that do not include the Trinity Aquifer or Waco include: Lake Belton via Bluebonnet WSC, the Brazos River, the Brazos River Alluvium, and reuse of wastewater. The FFLM WSC and Tri-County SUD may also be able to meet some of the future demands for utilities that are located near their distribution systems.