


***Agenda Item 8.8***  
***Draft Updated Water Management Plans***

**December 8, 2004**

**BRAZOS G**  
WATER PLANNING GROUP



# ***Background and Next Steps***

---

- ✓ Demand/supply comparisons nearing completion for each WUG
- ✓ For each WUG with a projected shortage (need), a water management plan must be developed
  - Needs: Supply less than 105% of demand
- ✓ Conservation considered first for WUGs with greater than 140 gpcd use
- ✓ HDR & FNI jointly developing plans

# ***Status of Each County (“Initial Draft Complete”)***

---

## ✓ “Initial Draft Complete”

- **Bosque**
- **Burleson**
- **Comanche**
- **Coryell**
- **Erath**
- **Falls**
- **Fisher**
- **Hamilton**
- **Hood**
- **Kent**
- **Lee**
- **Milam**
- **Palo Pinto**
- **Robertson**
- **Stonewall**
- **Throckmorton**
- **Washington**
- **Young**

# ***Status of Each County (Counties in Progress)***

---

✓ **“in Progress”**

- **Bell**
- **Brazos**
- **Callahan**
- **Eastland**
- **Grimes**
- **Haskell**
- **Hill**
- **Johnson**
- **Jones**
- **Knox**
- **Lampasas**
- **Limestone**

- **McLennan**
- **Nolan**
- **Taylor**
- **Throckmorton**
- **Somervell**
- **Stephens**
- **Shackelford**
- **Stephens**
- **Taylor**
- **Williamson**

# What's Next??

---

- ✓ HDR will continue to work on “Counties in Progress” and submit to RWPG when drafts are complete
- ✓ RPWG review of “Complete” counties (provided to RWPG)
- ✓ Incorporate BRA System water when appropriate as alternative strategy
- ✓ HDR will contact each entity for which “voluntary redistribution” is included (if not included in the previous plan) to confirm appropriateness
- ✓ Update/determine costs for each strategy/plan
- ✓ Written report sections for each WUG
- ✓ Submit draft Water Management Plans to individual WUGs for review and comment (prior to three sub-regional meetings)