

1. Issue # 19 - left as is, no changes & still relevant to our region. I don't think we can improve the language much, says what we want in a short concise paragraph.

2. Issue # 4 - my opinion is to leave out, all it says is that interbasin transfers are important but we don't know how to do them in fairness, if we had a solution to IBT's that would change my opinion. I don't have a strong preference for leaving or deleting, if the committee wants' to leave in they will need to update the language about # of IBT's statewide & the State's population number & hopefully add a solution. I'm not going to attempt to rewrite because my recommendation is to leave out.